



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

Paper No. 6

JUN 02 2003

John W. L. Ogilvie  
COMPUTER LAW ++  
1211 East Yale Avenue  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84105

DIRECTOR OFFICE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

In re Application of: DATTA et al. )  
Application No. 10/034,190 )  
Attorney Docket No. 3003.2.10B )  
Filed: December 28, 2001 ) **DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE**  
For: DOMAIN NAME RESOLUTION ) **SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR §1.102(d) –**  
MAKING IP ADDRESS SELECTIONS IN ) **ACCELERATED EXAMINATION**  
RESPONSE TO CONNECTION STATUS )  
WHEN MULTIPLE CONNECTIONS ARE )  
PRESENT )

This is a decision on the petition to make special, filed April 25, 2003 under 37 CFR §1.102(d), in accordance with MPEP 708.02(VIII), requesting the Accelerated Examination of the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

For such petitions, MPEP 708.02 states:

- Any petition to make special should:
- (A) be in writing; and
  - (B) identify the application by application number and filing date.

The petition satisfies both of these requirements.

As for other specific requirements of 37 CFR §1.102(d) in accordance with MPEP 708.02(VIII), these include:

- (A) Submits a petition to make special accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(h);
- (B) Presents all claims directed to a single invention, or if the Office determines that all the claims presented are not obviously directed to a single invention, will make an election without traverse as a prerequisite to the grant of special status. The election may be made by applicant at the time of filing the petition for special status. Should applicant fail to include an election with the original papers

or petition and the Office determines that a requirement should be made, the established telephone restriction practice will be followed.

If otherwise proper, examination on the merits will proceed on claims drawn to the elected invention.

If applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, the application will not be further examined at that time. The petition will be denied on the ground that the claims are not directed to a single invention, and the application will await action in its regular turn.

Divisional applications directed to the nonelected inventions will not automatically be given special status based on papers filed with the petition in the parent application. Each such application must meet on its own all requirements for the new special status;

(C) Submits a statement(s) that a pre-examination search was made, listing the field of search by class and subclass, publication, Chemical Abstracts, foreign patents, etc. A search made by a foreign patent office satisfies this requirement;

(D) Submits one copy each of the references deemed most closely related to the subject matter encompassed by the claims if said references are not already of record; and

(E) Submits a detailed discussion of the references, which discussion points out, with the particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111 (b) and (c), how the claimed subject matter is patentable over the references.

The petition fully satisfies each of these criteria. By "oral election" in the case of a restriction requirement, it is assumed that this includes established telephone election practice.

Therefore, the Petition is **GRANTED**.

The application file will be forwarded to the Technology Center support staff and the application will be designated as special.



Josie A. Ballato

Special Programs Examiner

Technology Center 2100

Computer Architecture, Software, and Information Security

(703) 308-0269

BJP